

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



FELICITY MARY NEWMAN; ET AL.	)	Civ. No. 87-4757-WDK
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	NOTICE OF PROPOSED
v..	)	SETTLEMENT OF CLASS
	)	ACTION
BUREAU OF CITIZENSHIP AND	)	
IMMIGRATION SERVICES, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Notice is hereby given that a settlement of the above-entitled class action case has been submitted for the Court's approval.

The settlement affects the rights of the following two groups of immigrants who are entitled to object to the proposed settlement:

A. All persons who are prima facie eligible for legalization under the 1986 IRCA who attempted to file a completed application and application fee with the Immigration and Naturalization Service ("INS"), or a Qualified Designated Entity ("QDE"), between May 5, 1987, and May 4, 1988, but had the application and fee refused because they had traveled outside of the United States and returned with a visitor's visa, student visa, or any other type of visa or travel document.

B. All persons who applied for a work permit under the *LULAC/Newman* case, who are otherwise prima facie eligible for legalization under the 1986 IRCA, and visited an INS or QDE office between May 5, 1987, to May 4, 1988, to apply for legalization without a complete application and fee but were informed by an INS officer or QDE employee that they were ineligible for legalization because they had traveled outside of the United States and returned with a visitor's visa, student visa, or any other type of visa or travel document, or were refused by the INS or its QDEs legalization forms on account of that travel, and for whom such information, or inability to obtain the required application forms, was a substantial cause of their failure to timely file or complete a written application.

*The proposed settlement will allow members of the two groups described above a period of one year to apply for legalization under the 1986 IRCA.* Applicants who appear to be eligible for legalization will also be entitled to temporary employment authorization. Applications will be confidential and will not be used to deport applicants who are denied legalization. The full text of the proposed settlement is available for review at the Office of the Clerk of the Court, 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA, 90012, or the Center for Human Rights & Constitutional Law, 256 S. Occidental Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90057, and at [www.centerforhumanrights.org/NewmanSettlement.pdf](http://www.centerforhumanrights.org/NewmanSettlement.pdf).

Class members objecting to the proposed settlement must mail their written objections on or before December 29, 2003, to: Clerk (Newman Settlement Objection), United States District Court for the Central District of California, 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, with a copy sent to Peter A. Schey and Carlos Holguin, Center for Human Rights & Constitutional Law, 256 S. Occidental Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90057.

Wm. D. Keller  
United States District Judge